

# UNITED STATES PARIMENT OF **United States Patent and Trademark Office** COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 425-774P YAMATO 09/530,184 04/26/00 **EXAMINER** 「002292 PM82/0622 BAKER, A BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747 3641

DATE MAILED:

06/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/530,184

Applicant

Yamato

Examiner

Aileen J. Baker

Art Unit **3641** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sh t with th corresp nd nc address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> </ul>	ation.
be considered timely.	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s	tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) 🛚 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Apr 2</u>	6, 2000
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6)  Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗓 Claims <u>1-9</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: aŊ approved b) □disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) $\boxed{\mathbf{X}}$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a)⊠ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1. 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have	ave been received in Application No
application from the International Bur	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	·
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09530184

Art Unit: 3641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a gas generating composition, classified in class 149, subclass
     88.
  - II. Claim 9, drawn to a method for gassing an explosive, classified in class 149, subclass 109.6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Group I is independent and distinct from Group II because Group I does not require burning a fuel that is specified in Group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is required, if Group I is elected, under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species based on the fuel, oxidizer, and chlorine neutralizer for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears be generic.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09530184 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aileen Baker whose telephone number is (703) 306-5751. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

CHARLES T. JORDAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Charles T. Gordan